UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,034	11/19/2003	Uri Herzberg	60004 (72021)	7145	
	7590 03/23/2007 ANGELL, LLP	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 55874			CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
GVODEENED GEATUEOD	V DEDVOD OF DESCRIPTION	NAME DATE:			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
· 31 D	AYS	03/23/2007	PAPER ·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/718,034	HERZBERG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Renee Claytor	1617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to select the select that the the select	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I	November 2003				
2a)[_		s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application.					
٠/٤٤	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · ·	8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	·				
··	•					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	•				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
4.0	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)(Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation and Copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11 and 59, drawn to a composition comprising a narcotic analgesic and a nontoxic VR1 antagonist, classified in class 514, subclass 310.
- II. Claims 12-37, drawn to a packaged pharmaceutical composition comprising a container holding a composition comprising a nontoxic VR1 antagonist and instructions, classified in class 514, subclass 310.
- III. Claims 38-42 and 53-57, drawn to a method of treating pain comprising administration of a narcotic analgesic and a nontoxic VR1 antagonist, classified in class 514, subclass 310.
- IV. Claims 43-52, drawn to a method for inhibiting the development of tolerance to a narcotic analgesic comprising administration of a narcotic analgesic and a nontoxic VR1 antagonist, classified in class 514, subclass 310.
- V. Claim 58, drawn to a method for treating withdrawal symptoms comprising administration of a nontoxic VR1 antagonist, classified in class 514, subclass 310.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1617

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to a composition comprised of a narcotic analgesic and a nontoxic VR1 antagonist and a packaged pharmaceutical composition comprised of a nontoxic VR1 antagonist. The inventions are distinct in that Invention I is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition while Invention II is drawn to a package containing a different pharmaceutical composition. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method of treating pain in an individual can be accomplished with another materially different product, such as ibuprofen or aspirin. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1617

Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method for inhibiting the development of tolerance to a narcotic analgesic can be accomplished with another materially different product, such as anxiolytics. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the method for treating withdrawal symptoms can be accomplished with another materially different product such as anxiolytics. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1617

Invention II is unrelated to any of Inventions III, IV and V. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the inventions are distinct in that Invention II is drawn to a package containing a pharmaceutical composition while Inventions III, IV and V are drawn to methods of using pharmaceutical compositions. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are distinct in that Invention III is drawn to a method of treating pain while Invention IV is drawn to a method of inhibiting the development of tolerance. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the

Art Unit: 1617

different inventions are distinct in that Invention III is drawn to a method of treating pain while Invention V is drawn to a method for treating withdrawal symptoms resulting from administration of an addictive substance. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are distinct in that Invention IV is drawn to a method for inhibiting the development of tolerance and Invention V is drawn to a method of treating withdrawal symptoms resulting from administration of an addictive substance. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: narcotic analgesics and VR1 antagonists. The species are independent or

Art Unit: 1617

distinct because each compound is structurally distinct from the other leading to different solubility, oral bioavailability and physiological effects.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of **one** narcotic analgesic and **one** VR1 antagonist for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claims 1-59 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1617

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is 571-272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor

ENTERNISMENTATION OF THE STATE AND AND THE STATE OF THE STATE AND THE STATE OF THE

Page 8